

Cricket Notes.

(By Spectator.)

We congratulate the team on their sequence of wins, most of them gained by really good cricket. The Kensington Park victory was mainly due to a sound century from Brooks and a hard-hit seventy by Hornby, whilst Baumgartner took six wickets and bowled well.

* * *

Still more satisfactory was the display against H. R. Grellet's team, because the later batsmen showed unexpected determination, and turned what looked like a very small score into a winning one. Great credit is due to Brooks ii., Cantrell i., and Baumgartner for their plucky batting at a critical time. Peel ii. did a sensational piece of bowling at the finish.

* * *

Mr. Allen brought a strong team against us, but we managed to win by a good margin, though we are indebted to our opponents' magnanimity for the few minutes necessary to finish the game. Peel i. and Brooks i. both played extremely good cricket and we left Mr. Allen's team little chance of a win. Considering their batting strength, we did well to get them out. Baumgartner did good work first, but seemed to tire later on, and the other bowlers got wickets when wanted.

* * *

The M.C.C. match shewed our batting at its best. Our opponents' batting was not too strong, and but for the consideration extended to them in the field their total would scarcely have reached 150. Our fielding was certainly slovenly, and the many short runs stolen by our opponents were a clear proof of its lack of smartness. But one can give any praise to the batting which followed. To get 200 runs against professional bowling, in considerably under two hours, is a feat we may well be proud of. All three innings were good, C. L. Peel's especially so, though spoilt by slowness in running his first run. He played with more vigour than usual. Brooks, after a bad start, did not look like getting out, whilst the captain's innings was marked by great judgment and nerve at a critical time.

AS OTHERS SEE US.

The PAULINE on the St. Paul's v. B.G.S. Match says:—

No better example of the importance of good fielding can be given than this match. Our opponents' fielding was as bad as ours was good. Bedford undoubtedly lost the match through this. Nor can it be said that the ground had anything to do with it, for it was not so much the ground fielding that was bad as the catches missed. One batsman was let off no less than five times, and others had similar escapes. . . . Bedford seem to be unusually weak this year, and though their collapse after the fall of the fifth wicket was chiefly due to Culver's fine bowling, it was the inadequacy of the Bedford fielding that really cost them the match.

NOTE.

The Junior Challenge Cup, plus an emolument of £20, given by the *English Twenty Club*, was won at Pirbright on July 13th by Division 5, with a total of 678. This team, however, in the Semi-Final on July 12th had scored 743, the highest score made by any team during the Bisley meeting of this year. Its Captain is Lieut. B. F. Columbine.

Dummy Diving Competition

(UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE Royal Humane Society.)

1. Bouchier (60½).
2. P. Morris ii (54½).
3. P. Morris i. (52½).
4. Lewis (46).
5. Kalberer (45).

This event was decided on Wednesday and Thursday afternoons, 25th and 26th, Messrs. J. P. Kirkman and J. T. Little kindly officiating as judges. The first competition was the rescue and landing of a floating dummy; in the second, the victim was sunk in a known spot; in the third, its whereabouts was unknown. The chief point considered was the solicitude with which the corpse was handled, so that it should not be further drowned whilst being brought to land.

In Memoriam

HENRY GRESHAM SARGENT,
Adjutant 14th Bombay Infantry,
Born, 27th January, 1871.
Entered the School, January, 1885.
Left, July, 1889.
Died suddenly at Saugor, July 23rd, 1900.